	WHENTAL PROTECTION
a.	Man Doctor
E Series	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) Image: COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) Image: COMPLAINT NO:						
AIRS ID#: 0250359 DATE: 10/6/2009 ARRIVE: 11:15 AM DEPART: 11:40 AM						
FACILITY NAME: JOB MIX CONCRETE CO						
FACILITY LOCATION: 7301 NW 47TH ST						
33166						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DONALD CARLILE PHONE: (305)591-8088						
CONTACT NAME: PHONE:						
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/1/2004 / 1/1/2009 (effective date) (end date) (end date) (end date)						
☐ IN COMPLIANCE						
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))						
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?						

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)				
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)				
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) Yes Yes No 				
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)				
2. Did this facility demonstrate:				
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?				
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes] No				
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to 				
the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? \Box Yes \boxtimes No				
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)				
4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? □Yes □No				

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year? Yes No	(check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.) □Yes □ No a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? □Yes □ No b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year? □Yes □ No □Yes □ No c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year? □Yes □ No				
	 plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a</i>), <i>thru 2.d</i>),) <i>below</i>.) a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year? c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	Yes ⊠ No Yes ⊠ No Yes □ No Yes □ No		

3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books	to account for:
a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	Yes No
b) material processed on a monthly basis?	Yes No
c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	Yes 🗌 No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No	
	2)	2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	
		emissions? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No	
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to	
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? [Yes] No	
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
		particulate matter from stock piles? Ves No	
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? [Yes] No	

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>

1. Since the last inspection has there been	
a) installation of any new process equipment?	
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the	
recent notification form?	Yes 🛛 No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and con	nplete
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate	
local program office?	Yes No

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

10/6/2009

Date of Inspection

10/2010

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: ON OCTOBER 6, 2009 AT 11:15 A.M., I VISITED THIS FACILITY TO CONDUCT THE ANNUAL COMPLIANCE INSPECTION. ON SITE I MET RUDY DELAMORA, THE FACILITY'S OWNER. ONLY ONE SILO IS USED. THE FACILITY WAS OPERATIONAL LOADING CONCRETE INTO TRUCKS. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS. ALSO I DID NOT OBSERVE FUGITIVE PARTICULATES AROUND THE FACILITY.

A NOTICE OF VIOLATION (NOV) WAS ISSUED TO MR. DELAMORA FOR LETTING THE ENTITLEMENT PERIOD EXPIRED. IT EXPIRED ON JANUARY 1, 2009.